UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/820,876 | 04/09/2004 | Daniel Breen | SSB0005 | 9271 | |
| 75127 7590 09/05/2008 KING & SPALDING LLP (CITI CUSTOMER NUMBER) ATTN: GEORGE T. MARCOU | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY | | |
| 1700 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW SUITE 200 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | 3691 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/05/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|--|
| | 10/820,876 | BREEN ET AL. | |
| | | i | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Narayanswamy Subramanian | 3691 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 37 | avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a). | e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | ΓE below); | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | | |
| NOTE: The rejections made under 112, first para | | | |
| <u>against 101 and 103(a) rejections are not persuas</u> the last office action. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3 | | <u>rejections have alread</u> | ly been stated in |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | , | mnliant Amendment (| DTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | mpilant Amendment (i | 1 1 OL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of door NICT place the application in | | h |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce pecause: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | | | |
| 13. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |